

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR	ΑT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
387957,67	S 11/12/97	SASING		•	
		QM41/0122	一	EX	AMINER
Mark A RA	ULL RIDGE COURT		•	ពរដេម, ទ	-
	9 MW 55057			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	•			77 FF	7
				DATE MAILED:	01/22/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. 08/947, 473	Applicant(s) Habing et al
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	Crow	3733
-The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	
Period for Response	n	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>/ //</u> /	CC MONTH(S) FROM THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) of the NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response 	lays, a response within the statt y default, expire SIX (6) MONTH	ntory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timeled. IS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,		
Disposition of Claims		
\mathbb{Z} -Claim(s) $/-30$		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
☐ Claim(ş)	is/are allowed.	
☐ Claim(s) 1-30		is/are rejected.
` ,		
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)		•
□ Claim(s)		•
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wing Review, PTO-948 is	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948 is	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit	wing Review, PTO-948 is	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. or. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. or. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. or. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a s of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PCT	are subject to restriction or election requirement. □ disapproved.)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(as of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PCT	are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(as of the priority documents mber) International Bureau (PCT	are subject to restriction or election requirement. □ disapproved.)-(d). have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved ojected to by the Examiner. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a of the priority documents of the priority documen	are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Application/Control Number: 08/967473 Page 2

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

1. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to contain a statement that all errors which are being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of filing of the oath/declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.175 and MPEP § 1414.

Claims 1-30 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35
 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

3. This reissue application was filed without the required offer to surrender the original patent or, if the original is lost or inaccessible, an affidavit or declaration to that effect. The original patent, or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/967473

Art Unit: 3733

Interference

The request that an interference be declared is denied. The necessary conditions for interference have not been met. See 37 C.F.R. 1.607. and MPEP 1400. Note that applicant's filing date precedes the Rodgers date.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 19 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: Functionally, claim 19 requires a second foot supporting linkage assembly.

Claim 26 needs to recite a second foot support.

5. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10 and 13 should recite "a generally elliptical path".

6. Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Art Unit: 3733

Claims 10-23 recite an elliptical path of motion. The Disclosure does not describe this type of motion.

Claim 26 recites that the foot support is "movable through multiple paths of motion". The Disclosure is silent regarding how this type of motion is achieved.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-30 avoid the prior art of record.
- 8. Conclusion
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Crow whose telephone number is (703) 308-3398.

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332

A Crow